

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/749,410

Applicant(s)

NERIISHI ET AL.

Examiner

Carla Myers

Art Unit

1634

**All Participants:**(1) Carla Myers.(2) Susan Dadio.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3/9/04; 3/23/04; 4/12/04; 4/14/04**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 4

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) \_\_\_\_\_

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the previous notice of allowance lacked the signature of a Primary examiner and stamp and therefore prosecution in the application was being reopened. The examiner also indicated that there were several 112, second paragraph issues that had not previously been addressed in the application and proposed claims to overcome these issues. Applicants authorized the attached examiner's amendment to .